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Abstract

Stroke is the second leading cause of death (after heart disease) and a major cause of disability worldwide. Also called a brain attack, typical symptoms of stroke include sudden one-sided weakness and numbness in the face and arm as well as altered speech. Most strokes are ischaemic strokes where arterial blood flow to the brain is interrupted by an embolism or thrombosis. Strokes are less frequently caused by haemorrhage. Non-contrast cranial computed tomography (CT) imaging, the workhorse of acute stroke diagnosis, can reliably differentiate between ischaemic stroke and intracerebral haemorrhage. Contrast CT imaging – including angiography, perfusion and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) – can be used to detect large vessel occlusions and to identify ischaemic brain tissue that can still be salvaged by reperfusion. Treatment of acute ischaemic stroke focuses on the rapid reperfusion of brain tissue by means of intravenous thrombolysis and endovascular thrombectomy to salvage the at-risk tissue surrounding the infarct core. Reperfusion therapy is followed by specialised multidisciplinary stroke unit care and rehabilitation, all of which increases the chance of survival and reduces long-term disability. The mainstay of acute haemorrhagic stroke treatment is a lowering of blood pressure to stop haematoma expansion. Selected patients benefit from haemostatic agents or surgical treatment. Prevention of recurrent strokes includes lifestyle modifications and therapeutic interventions to control hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, diabetes, and smoking. Specific interventions to prevent recurrent strokes depend on the underlying stroke aetiology. These include antithrombotic medication, anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation, carotid endarterectomy or stenting, and the closure of a patent foramen ovale in ischaemic stroke patients.

General Lay Summary

Stroke is the second leading cause of death worldwide and a major cause of disability. It leads to a considerable loss of years of life and enormous social and economic costs. Diagnosis and treatment of stroke is thus an important field of research. Typical symptoms of stroke include sudden onset of unilateral weakness and numbness in the face and arm as well as altered speech. In ischaemic strokes, the arterial blood supply to the brain is interrupted by an embolism or thrombosis. Less frequently, strokes are caused by bleeding in the brain. Imaging techniques, especially computed tomography (CT), can reliably distinguish between an ischaemic stroke and a haemorrhage. CT scans with contrast medium can locate large vessel occlusions and identify brain tissue that can still be saved by restored blood flow. The treatment of an acute ischaemic stroke then focuses on the rapid reperfusion of the affected brain tissue. For this purpose, vascular occlusions are dissolved with medication or surgically removed. Further treatment in a specialised stroke unit and rehabilitation increase the patient's chances of survival and reduce permanent impairments. A stroke caused by bleeding is treated in hypertensive patients by lowering blood pressure to prevent the bleeding from expanding. In some cases, haemostatic drugs or surgery may also be useful. Recurrent strokes are best prevented by lifestyle changes along with control of high blood pressure, blood lipids, diabetes and smoking. Targeted interventions depend on the individual causes of the stroke. Possible interventions include blood-thinning medications, inhibiting blood clotting in atrial fibrillation, carotid endarterectomy or stenting, and the closure of a patent foramen ovale in ischaemic stroke patients.

Generelle Zusammenfassung


History

The first description of a stroke by HIPPOCRATES over 2,400 years ago resembles today’s clinical description remarkably well. HIPPOCRATES described a sudden loss of speech, sensation, and power as if the person was “struck down by violence”. However, the biological cause of this dramatic and sudden loss of physical and mental function remained unknown for almost 2000 years. The term “stroke” was coined in the late 17th century by the physician William COLE. Around the same time, the anatomists Jacob WEPFER and Thomas WILLIS recognised that the occurrence of symptoms was related to a disturbed blood supply to the brain. In some stroke patients, they found an occlusion of large blood vessels supplying the brain, in others they observed bleeding into brain tissue. In 19th century Berlin, the pathologist Rudolf VIRCHOW distinguished between ischaemic stroke (Greek: ἵσχειν/
is-chein ‘restrain’ + ἀἷμα/haima ‘blood’), which is caused by the occlusion of a blood vessel, and haemorrhagic stroke caused by blood vessel rupture and bleeding into the brain tissue (Greek: ἀἷμα/haima ‘blood’ + ῥῆξις/rhēxis ‘burst’).

**Definition**

Today, stroke is defined as an acute episode of focal dysfunction of the brain, retina, or spinal cord of a vascular origin if symptoms last longer than 24 hours or if computer tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or an autopsy show ischaemic brain injury or haemorrhage corresponding to the symptoms. Stroke is differentiated from a transient ischaemic attack (TIA) which is defined as transient neurological dysfunction without evidence of an ischaemic lesion in brain imaging for which symptoms typically last less than one hour and no more than 24 hours.

**Epidemiology**

Stroke is the second leading cause of death (after heart disease) worldwide. It accounts for more than 6.2 million deaths annually (World Health Organization 2020) with ~70 % of these deaths occurring in low- and middle-income countries (Feigin et al. 2015, Johnson et al. 2019). In high-income countries, nearly nine out of ten strokes are ischaemic strokes. Strokes are less frequently caused by haemorrhage (about 15 %). In Germany, the percentage of strokes caused by ischaemia, intracerebral haemorrhage, or subarachnoid haemorrhage (a bleeding into the subarachnoid space) is 84, 12, and 4 % respectively (Eyding et al. 2019). Globally, the incidence of haemorrhagic stroke (intracerebral haemorrhage and subarachnoid haemorrhage combined) is 30 %, indicating a higher incidence of haemorrhagic stroke in low- and middle-income countries (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation 2020). The global lifetime risk of stroke for men and women (at 25 years of age or older) is 25 % (The GBD 2016 Lifetime Risk of Stroke Collaborators 2018); the age-specific incidence increases exponentially with age, doubling for each decade after age 55 (Roger et al. 2012). In people under 44 years of age, approximately 50 % of strokes are caused by ischaemia, 30 % by subarachnoid haemorrhage, and 20 % by intracerebral haemorrhage, indicating more diverse underlying pathogeneses and risk factors in this patient group (Singhal et al. 2013). Since 1990, the global age-standardised stroke incidence rate has slightly decreased, whereas the highest incidences of stroke are in East Asia, especially China, followed by Eastern Europe (Johnson et al. 2019). Strikingly, during the same period, the rate of deaths due to ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke decreased by almost 40 % across all world regions except for sub-Saharan Africa (Johnson et al. 2019). However, as the world’s population increases and ages, and the low- and middle-income countries go through an epidemiological transition from infectious to non-communicable diseases, the overall burden of stroke in terms of the absolute number of people affected or disabled by stroke remains high and is steadily increasing (Johnson et al. 2019). In 2016, stroke-related disability was the second leading cause of disease burden worldwide, as measured in disability-adjusted life years (DALYs – the sum of life years lost as a result of premature death and years lived in disability adjusted for severity (Fig. 1, Johnson et al. 2019)). In Germany, the social costs for treatment and loss of productivity resulting from stroke amount to around €18 billion a year, with treatment costs accounting for approximately 2 % of total health expenditures in 2017 (Luen-go-Fernandez et al. 2019).
Tab. 1  Most common symptoms of acute stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sudden onset of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Numbness (half-sided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Weakness (half-sided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Confusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Difficulty speaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Vision loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dizziness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Imbalance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Severe headache</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prognosis

In high-income countries, 10 % to 25 % of stroke patients die within a month, up to a third by the end of year one, and more than half within five years after the initial stroke (LUENGO-FERNANDEZ et al. 2013, SENNFÄLT et al. 2019). Prognosis is even worse for people who experience haemorrhagic strokes, as the 1-month mortality approaches 40 %, indicating the need for improved therapeutic approaches (ASCH et al. 2010). About 50 % to 70 % of stroke survivors regain functional independence. However, 15 % to 30 % suffer from permanent disabilities (particularly hemiparesis, aphasia, sensory loss, spasticity, and an inability to walk unassisted or perform activities of daily living), and about one fifth requires institutional care in a nursing home at 3 months after onset (ROGER et al. 2011). Only about 50 % of working-aged adults return to paid employment within 6 to 12 months after stroke (DANIEL et al. 2009).

Aetiology and Risk Factors

More than 90 % of the overall burden of stroke is attributable to modifiable risk factors, including metabolic risk factors (such as high systolic blood pressure, a high body mass index, high fasting plasma glucose, high total cholesterol, low glomerular filtration rate, and atrial fibrillation) and behavioural risk factors (including smoking, an unhealthy diet, and low physical activity) indicating that preventive programmes aimed at modifying metabolic and behavioural risk factors could lead to a major reduction in global stroke burden (FEIGIN et al. 2016b). Recently, it has become clear that psychosocial factors, including psychosocial stress and depression, also significantly contribute to cerebrovascular risk (HOUSE et al. 2001, SALAYCIK et al. 2007). Strikingly, air pollution has emerged as an additional major modifiable risk factor being attributable to around one-third of the global stroke burden, especially in low- and middle-income countries (FEIGIN et al. 2016b). Hypertensive vascular disease resulting from chronic, increased blood pressure is the most common cause of bleeding into the brain (CORDONNIER et al. 2018); embolisms, mostly from the heart, and large and small vessel thromboses are the most common causes of ischaemic stroke (BOGOUSSLAVSKY et al. 2018).

Pathophysiology

The brain requires a permanent supply of glucose and oxygen to function properly. Although the brain constitutes only 1 % to 2 % of total body mass, it receives 15 % of the resting cardiac blood output and accounts for 20 % of the total body oxygen consumption (FROSCH et al. 2010). The brain is one of the most oxygen-sensitive organs in the body. Here oxygen is used almost entirely for the oxidative metabolism of glucose, which, under normal physiological conditions, is almost the only substrate for the brain’s energy metabolism (CLARKE and SKOLOFF 1999). Oxygen deprivation of the brain may occur through several mechanisms: (i) ischaemia, an either permanent or transient restriction in blood flow, or (ii) hypoxia, a deprivation of adequate oxygen supply caused by low partial pressure of oxygen or a decreased oxygen-carrying capacity of the blood. In addition, haemorrhage results in mechanical damage to brain tissue associated with the mass effect (ARONOWSKI and ZHAO 2011). Following a reduction in blood flow, the survival of the at-risk tissue depends on factors such as duration of the ischaemia, extent of flow reduction, and presence of collateral circulation (FROSCH et al. 2010) or, in the case of a haemorrhage, haemorrhage volume and intracranial pressure.
The Ischaemic Penumbra

In the late 1970s, ASTRUP et al. (1977) recognised that after the onset of focal brain ischaemia in baboon brains, measurements of neuronal activity revealed regions that were electrically silent but had not yet undergone irreversible cell death. Blood supply deficiency is severe at the core of an ischaemic stroke, causing irreversible membrane failure and rapid cell death. This infarct core is surrounded by a peripheral zone, the so-called penumbra (Latin: ‘half-shade’) where blood flow deficits are milder and electrical excitability of neurons is lost without causing morphological damage. Strikingly, when cerebral blood is increased, the ability to fire action potentials in these areas is completely restored. But after prolonged ischaemia, widespread cell death ensues. Today, clinical imaging techniques, such as positron emission tomography (PET), allow for real-time visualisation of these areas of ‘stunned’ brain that can be therapeutically salvaged in theory. This discovery has been crucial in changing the way ischaemic stroke has begun being viewed as a potentially “treatable disease” rather than a “preventable catastrophe” (RAMOS-CABRER et al. 2011). Hence, the goal of any circulation restoring strategy is to salvage the at-risk tissue surrounding the infarct core.

Within the ischaemic penumbra, several mechanisms have been identified on a molecular level that irreversibly damage brain tissue. In the area with the most severe hypoperfusion, synthesis of adenosine-triphosphate (ATP) drops, while its utilization remains high, leading to acidosis and the dysfunction of energy-dependent ion transporters. As a result, cells swell and membranes rupture. However, ischaemic tissue death is not only caused by the limited availability of ATP, but is a result of a complex interplay between numerous biochemical and cellular events that lead to acute cell death (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2  Biochemical and cellular mechanisms leading to cell death in ischaemic brain tissue.

Excitotoxicity

The metabolic depletion of energy can result in inappropriate release as well as failure of the reuptake mechanisms of excitatory amino acid neurotransmitters, such as glutamate, in depolarizing or dying neurons. Its accumulation in the extracellular space initiates cell damage through prolonged stimulation of α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, which dramatically increases the
influx of calcium, sodium, and water into neurons (ANKARCRONA et al. 1995). This elevation of cellular calcium ions can, in turn, trigger a wide range of harmful processes, including inappropriate activation of catabolic processes, generation of free radicals, and mitochondrial injury.

**Oxidative and Nitrosative Stress**

Oxidative and nitrosative stress occur during ischaemia, when the production of free radicals overwhelms the limited scavenging capacity of the brain’s endogenous antioxidants. Free radicals, such as oxygen-derived free radicals and nitric oxide, are molecules with one or more unpaired electrons. They are highly reactive, causing damage and dysfunction to DNA, proteins, and lipids, and potentially lead to cell death (CHAN 2001). Brain tissue damage following the restoration of circulation after a period of ischaemia, a so-called reperfusion injury, is mainly caused by damage from free radicals (SUN et al. 2018).

**Cortical Spreading Depolarizations**

Electrophysiological studies and imaging data show that cortical spreading depolarization (CSD) occurs spontaneously in the surrounding tissue of developing infarcts which is metabolically and functionally compromised but has not yet died – i.e. the penumbra (MIES et al. 1993, WOITZIK et al. 2013). CSD, triggered by high levels of extracellular potassium and glutamate that occur during ischaemia, is a highly energy-consuming phenomenon characterised by slowly propagating waves of depolarization of neurons and neuroglia for minutes to days after the onset of stroke (DREIER and REIFFURTH 2015). Expansion of the infarct core is caused by a mismatch of a drastically increased energy demand of activated ion transporters to support membrane repolarization and insufficient delivery of oxygen and glucose to the tissue. This results in neuronal death in energy-deprived tissue (ibid.). Interestingly, stimulation of the brain in critical peri-infarct “hot zones” may cause additional spreading of injury depolarizations via supply-demand mismatch transients and contribute to additional brain injury (BORNSTÄDT et al. 2015).

**Mechanisms of Cell Death**

Necrosis and apoptosis are the main mechanisms of cell death following ischaemic injury (DIRNAGL et al. 1999). Necrosis and apoptosis can be induced by multiple triggers, including oxidative and nitrosative stress and calcium overload. Mild ischaemic injury preferentially induces delayed neuronal cell death via apoptotic mechanisms, such as activation of caspases, leading to subsequent phagocytosis of the apoptotic bodies (ENDRES et al. 1998). In contrast, necrosis, the passive death of a cell due to severe ischaemia and energy depletion, leads to an uncontrolled release of inflammatory cellular content and other debris (DIRNAGL et al. 1999). In some cases, neuronal cell death with morphological features of necrosis can be induced by death receptor signalling after ischaemic injury. This receptor-dependent mechanism of cell death has become known as necroptosis (DEGTEREV et al. 2005). Other, more recently identified mechanisms contributing to neuronal death following ischaemic injury include autophagy, a catabolic pathway leading to the self-eating of cellular components by autophagosomes, and phagoptosis, the phagocytosis of eat-me signals which expose stressed but viable neurons (NEHER et al. 2011, WANG et al. 2018).

**Inflammation**

Inflammation following brain injury is a key contributor to the pathophysiology of stroke. Under conditions of hypoxia, high shear stress, endothelial cell damage, and the generation of reactive oxygen species, the inflammatory process begins to unfold in the vascular compartment within minutes after occlusion of an artery. Post-ischaemic inflammation typically follows an orderly process of events involving neurons and neuroglia, vessels, and the circulating blood. Collectively, the ensuing post-ischaemic inflammatory response can be harmful, leading to secondary brain injury. However, post-ischaemic inflammation is a self-limiting process, which, during later stages of the ischaemic injury, is necessary for the removal of dead cells and production of growth factors helping to foster an environment for tissue reconstruction and repair (for review see ANRATHER and IADECOLA 2016). Furthermore, while the immune response starts locally, inflammatory mediators expressed during the early phase of ischaemic damage can propagate through the disrupted blood-brain-barrier (BBB) resulting in a systemic inflammatory response. This, in turn, is followed by an opposing anti-inflammatory response predisposing patients to stroke-induced immunosuppression (for review see: ibid.). Therefore, any therapeutic concept should consider the biphasic nature of post-ischaemic inflammation by attenuating its devastating potential in the acute phase, while enhancing its beneficial contributions to tissue repair during later stages of disease.
Fig. 3  Mechanisms of post-ischaemic damage and protection. The ischaemic cascade is a complex interaction between all cell types of the brain and supplying blood vessels. Permanent injury to brain tissue results from early primary and delayed secondary damage. Necrosis and apoptosis are the main mechanisms of cell death following ischaemic injury. Mild ischaemic injury preferentially induces cell death via apoptotic mechanisms. Apoptosis is the predominant type of cell death in the penumbra. Secondary damage is mostly driven by inflammation through the generation of reactive oxygen species, activation of the complement system, induced apoptosis, and the release of cytotoxic and apoptosis-inducing molecules by cytotoxic T cells and natural killer cells (based on ENDRES et al. 2008).

Difficulties in Translating Stroke Research into Clinical Practice

Despite numerous neuroprotective agents that have been found to reduce brain injury and improve neurological outcomes in various animal models of stroke, the translation of these benefits from the laboratory bench to the stroke unit, the so-called bench-to-bedside translation, has been dismal. In fact, since the early 1960s more than 1,000 molecules with brain-protective effects relevant to stroke have been identified, leading to the publication of more than 3,500 articles and the implementation of several hundred clinical trials aimed at establishing an effective neuroprotective therapy after stroke (O’COLLINS et al. 2006). However, apart from reperfusion induced through mechanical recanalization or intravenous tissue plasminogen activator, translation into effective therapies has failed.

This failure to translate successful preclinical therapeutic candidates into benefits for patients has been described as the translational roadblock or, more dramatically, the translational valley of death, separating the world of preclinical research from clinical benefit. Several reasons for this roadblock and ways to cross the valley of death have been debated in the scientific community but can be regarded along two lines. The first is internal validity. It has been argued that preclinical literature is confounded by factors leading to the overestimation of effect size and identification of false positives. Among the most commonly cited are a prevalence of underpowered studies, lack of measures like randomisation and blinding to reduce bias, and a tendency to avoid the publication of negative findings. According to some meta-analyses, each of these factors can lead to an overestimation of true effect size by 10 – 30 % (CROSSLEY et al. 2008, MACLEOD et al. 2008). At the same time, clinical studies using these false estimates of effect size might be underpowered to detect a true but much smaller effect and thus produce false negatives. The second is external validity in which there is a difference in the stroke models and treatment paradigm in preclinical research and human stroke. One of the most striking differences in many studies comparing preclinical and clinical treatment paradigms is the timing of treatment administration. While most preclinical studies administer treatment at the time the ischaemia occurs or even earlier, reaching the patient in real life within 4.5 hours after the onset of symptoms is a challenge. With the advent of new pre-hospital stroke care models, described in more detail below, ultra-early treatment strategies may, however, be within reach in the near future. In addition, inherent differences in the anatomy and physiology of rodent model organisms and humans contribute to the translational roadblock. Even if a pathomechanism proves translatable from mouse to man, differences in the ability of drugs to cross the much weaker barrier between the blood and the brain tissue in model organisms and the human blood brain barrier may lead to a failure of a potential drug to reach the target tissue. Nevertheless,
experimental research using animal models of disease has been essential for our understanding of stroke pathophysiology and identifying therapeutic targets (DIRNAGL and ENDRES 2014).

**Diagnosis**

Typical symptoms of stroke include sudden unilateral weakness and numbness of the face, arm (and leg), as well as altered speech. This has led to the acronym FAST (face, arm, speech, time to call ambulance) to aid prehospital stroke screening and public information campaigns. In essence, all brain functions can be affected by a stroke and indicate a specifically affected brain region—hence the quotation by stroke neurologist C. M. FISHER “we learn neurology stroke by stroke”. Other common symptoms include a sudden onset of non-orthostatic vertigo, visual loss, double vision, unsteady gait, swallowing difficulties, and confusion. Despite sharing a similar clinical presentation, acute treatment strategies for haemorrhagic and ischaemic stroke are fundamentally different. Therefore, a fast and reliable distinction between the two stroke types is paramount. Non-contrast cranial computed tomography (CT) can reliably detect fresh intracranial haemorrhage and remains the workhorse of acute stroke diagnosis. However, its sensitivity for revealing cerebral ischaemia is low for lesions which are recent, small, or in the lower posterior parts of the brain. Acute brain ischaemia can be detected in 90% of patients with ischaemic stroke by diffusion weighted MRI. Fortunately, MRI also enables clinicians to estimate the onset of stroke in patients with an unclear time of occurrence, for instance when the stroke occurred while the patient was asleep, and thus permits the use of specific acute therapies (Fig. 4, THOMALLA et al. 2018).

![Fig. 4 Sample magnetic resonance (MR) images of an acute stroke using diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequences. (A) Time from stroke onset is likely to be <4.5 h in patients with mismatched DWI and FLAIR scans (i.e. hyperintense lesion on DWI is consistent with reduced diffusivity due to cytotoxic oedema but FLAIR images show no signal abnormality). (B) Time from stroke onset is likely to be >4.5 h in patients with visible lesions in both DWI and FLAIR MRI scans (i.e. hyperintense lesion on DWI is consistent with cytotoxic oedema induced by brain ischaemia and hyperintense signal on FLAIR, a sign of vasogenic oedema). DWI-FLAIR mismatch is commonly used in clinical trials to select patients for thrombolysis when the time of symptom onset is unknown. (Images courtesy of the Center for Stroke Research Berlin & the PRE-FLAIR study.)](image-url)
Treatment

When left untreated, about two out of three stroke patients are either deceased or dependent after six months; modern treatment options lower this rate to about 40%. For ischaemic stroke, reduction in stroke mortality and long-term disability seen over the past two decades among high-income countries is almost entirely due to five interventions which have been shown to be effective in at least one properly designed, randomised controlled trial: (i) management of patients within a stroke unit (LANGHORNE et al. 1993), (ii) tissue plasminogen activator for intravenous thrombolysis within 4.5 hours of stroke onset (LEES et al. 2010), (iii) mechanical reopening of an occluded vessel with the help of vascular catheters, the so-called mechanical thrombectomy method, in particular for severely affected patients in whom a large brain vessel is blocked within 6 hours of stroke onset (BERKHEMER et al. 2015), (iv) aspirin within 48 hours of stroke onset (International Stroke Trial Collaborative Group 1997, JOHNSTON et al. 2018), and (v) decompressive surgery typically in younger patients with large middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory stroke (SCHWAB et al. 1998). Of these five treatments, the evidence is strongest for the long-term benefits of stroke unit treatment. Management within a stroke unit in the first days after stroke can be considered a complex organisational intervention. It comprises treatment by specialised multi-disciplinary staff, including specialised nursing and rehabilitation, as well as continuous monitoring of vital functions, fast access to imaging, and early initiation of secondary prevention (Fig. 5). Stroke unit treatment has been studied in randomised controlled trials since the 1990s and reduces death or dependency after one year by around 30%.

Fig. 5  Patient-centred model of specialised, interdisciplinary stroke unit care.
Tab. 2  Current treatment options of ischaemic stroke. The odds ratio represents the odds that death or dependency will occur given exposure to a particular treatment, compared to the odds of the outcome occurring in the absence of that exposure. The number needed to treat represents the average number of patients who need to be treated to prevent one additional bad outcome (e.g. the number of patients that need to be treated for one of them to benefit compared with a control in a clinical trial). n/a = not available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of acute stroke patients that can be targeted by this therapy</th>
<th>Odds ratio (death or dependency)</th>
<th>Number needed to treat</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stroke unit</td>
<td>70 % (-100 %)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.66 – 0.85)</td>
<td>15 (10 – 27)</td>
<td>(LANGHORNE et al. 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolysis</td>
<td>15 % (-25 %)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.70 – 0.84)</td>
<td>16 (12 – 25)</td>
<td>(WARDLAW et al. 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical thrombectomy</td>
<td>~10 % (-15 %)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.49 – 0.83)</td>
<td>8 (6 – 23)</td>
<td>(CHURCH et al. 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompressive surgery (results for death only)</td>
<td>~0.5 %</td>
<td>0.18 (0.11 – 0.29)</td>
<td>2 (2 – 3)</td>
<td>(RAHME et al. 2012, GUL et al. 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>(International Stroke Trial Collaborative Group 1997)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment of acute haemorrhagic stroke is geared towards limiting the mass effect of the bleeding and management of acute complications such as a decreased level of consciousness. Blood pressure is often elevated in patients suffering from a haemorrhagic stroke and should be acutely lowered to stop haematoma expansion. For patients taking anticoagulants or antiplatelet drugs, these should be urgently discontinued and anticoagulant effects should be reversed immediately with appropriate agents (HEMPHILL et al. 2018). After an initial emergency evaluation, patients should be managed in a dedicated stroke unit so that patient deterioration can be detected and reacted to swiftly, including invasive measures to reduce intracranial pressure by ventricular drainage or surgery. There remains a lack of clear criteria for the primary surgical management for removing a haemorrhage and decisions should be made on a per patient basis (HEMPHILL et al. 2018, Fig. 6).
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Fig. 6 Flowchart depicting the acute stroke pathway
Local Solutions

In Germany, stroke mortality rates have decreased by 50% since the 1990s (RÜCKER et al. 2018). This success was driven by local innovations and a coordinated national plan for fast access to specialised acute treatment and care within stroke units (LANGHORNE et al. 2020). In the past 30 years, more than 300 stroke units have been certified by the German Stroke Society and today, more than 70% (BUSSE et al. 2013) of all stroke patients are treated in a certified stroke unit. Recently, interdisciplinary neurovascular specialist networks have been implemented nationally to improve care for complex and severe stroke cases. These neurovascular networks provide smaller hospitals, connected within the network, with fast access to expertise in the areas of neurology, neurosurgery, and vascular surgery at a central coordinating centre. Hospitals in the network share common standards and referral pathways i.e. for mechanical thrombectomy or specialised neurointensive care and in many cases are directly linked through telemedicine (BUSSE et al. 2013).

Despite this success in making specialised care available, only ~15% of acute stroke patients (WEBER et al. 2019) receive treatment with intravenous recombinant plasminogen activator (rTPA) and only 5% receive mechanical thrombectomy with large variances between regions (WEBER et al. 2019). The benefits of thrombolysis and mechanical thrombectomy are time-dependent. Thrombolysis is limited to a narrow window of 4.5 hours after symptom onset (EMBERSON et al. 2014); mechanical thrombectomy is indicated for patients with large artery occlusion in the anterior circulation for up to 24 hours after symptom onset regardless of whether they received thrombolysis for the same event (NOGUEIRA et al. 2018).

Reasons for delays in initiating treatment include prehospital delays, such as the time it takes a patient to decide to call emergency services and the time from emergency call to arrival at the hospital, as well as in-hospital delays. To reduce in-hospital delays and increase the number of patients receiving thrombolysis, structured stroke alarm systems, including pre-notification of the arrival of emergency medical services (EMS), and single-call activation of dedicated stroke teams have been implemented successfully in many emergency care units, reducing door-to-treatment time to under 45 minutes (KÖHRMANN et al. 2011). Alongside similar initiatives in other regions of Germany, the Center for Stroke Research Berlin (CSB) was founded as an integrated centre for treatment and research to develop innovative concepts to improve acute and long-term care. Its research focuses on three goals: to protect the brain, prevent complications, and restore function. To further reduce the delay in initiating treatment, the CSB, together with the Berlin Fire Brigade, developed a specialised ambulance vehicle, the Stroke Emergency Mobile (STEMO), equipped with a CT scanner and point-of-care laboratory to allow for prehospital thrombolysis. This has shortened the time-to-treatment by 25 minutes and increased the number of patients treated with thrombolysis by 50% (KUNZ et al. 2016, Fig. 7). Moreover, STEMO treatment reduces long-term disability (EBINGER et al. 2021).

With the advent of mechanical thrombectomy at interventional centres and the availability of neurovascular networks, two approaches for patients with suspected large vessel occlusion have come up for debate: ‘drip and ship’, meaning primary transportation to the closest stroke unit and secondary transportation to an interventional centre if large vessel occlusion is confirmed, or the ‘direct to mothership’ approach with direct transportation of severely affected patients to a neurointerventional site (ABILLEIRA et al. 2019). In a simulation experiment using real-life data, researchers from the CSB demonstrated a favourable outcome for the ‘direct to mothership’ approach if the additional delay to thrombolysis is under 30 minutes in urban settings and under 50 minutes in rural settings (SCHLEMM et al. 2019, 2020). Correctly identifying these patients, however, remains a prerequisite for adequate care. To this end, the CSB has developed a telemedicine network for acute stroke care within the ANNOTeM project and has expanded it to include healthcare providers in rural areas across north-eastern Germany (WEBER et al. 2020). The Berlin Stroke Alliance was established by the CSB in 2008 to ensure close follow-up and optimal long-term care. It connects institutions along the continuum of care, providing a single point of contact for patients and improving patient education (Berliner Schlaganfall Allianz e.V. 2020).
An Emphasis on Stroke Prevention

Global stroke mortality and age-adjusted incidence declined during the last two decades mainly due to improvements in acute stroke care, effective prevention strategies, first-and-foremost treatment of hypertension, and anticoagulation for atrial fibrillations. However, the overall stroke burden continues to increase rapidly in terms of absolute numbers of people affected by and living with stroke and stroke-related disabilities (Feigin et al. 2016a). This suggests that current primary prevention efforts for stroke are insufficient in stemming the tide of the growing stroke burden. Furthermore, stroke prevention strategies are mostly based on high-income country data available from registries and disease surveillance databases. It remains uncertain whether these strategies can be equally effective in low- and middle-income countries, where a younger age of onset, higher rates of haemorrhagic strokes, and increased exposure to modifiable risk factors, such as air pollution, indicate the need for population-specific stroke prevention strategies. Notably, the World Health Organization regards primary prevention as the most cost-effective strategy for reducing the overall stroke burden, especially in low-resource communities where access to emergency care and acute stroke treatment is limited.

Primary Prevention

Lifestyle changes, treatment with lipid-lowering drugs, antihypertensive medication or anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation and additional risk factors are effective and cost-efficient for both primary and secondary prevention of stroke. The largest case-control study to date, which included 26,919 participants from 32 countries...
Emmrich, J. V., Knauss, S., Endres, M., Current advances, challenges, and opportunities in stroke research, management, and care

(INTERSTROKE Study) found a 47.9 % population-attributable risk of hypertension (99 % CI 45.1 – 50.6; 45.7 % (42.4 – 49.0) for ischaemic stroke and 56.4 % (52.0 – 60.6) for haemorrhagic stroke) (O’DONNELL et al. 2016). Antihypertensive therapy versus a placebo reduced the risk of stroke by 32 % (95 % CI 24 – 39). Atrial fibrillation contributes up to a 17.1 % population-attributable risk for ischaemic stroke (ibid.), but long-term treatment with anticoagulants can almost halve this risk in high-risk groups (FRIBERG et al. 2012). Interestingly, more than half of the modifiable risk factors can be mitigated by lifestyle changes, highlighting the importance of education and primary prevention.

Tab. 3  Leading modifiable risk factors for stroke, accounting for 91 % of population-attributable risk (O’DONNELL et al. 2016). Modified Alternative Healthy Eating Index, mAHEI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Odds ratio (99 % confidence interval)</th>
<th>Population attributable risk (99 % confidence interval)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>2.98 (2.72 - 3.28)</td>
<td>47.9 % (45.1 - 50.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular physical activity</td>
<td>0.60 (0.52 - 0.70)</td>
<td>35.8 % (27.7 - 44.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apolipoprotein (Apo)B/ApoA1 ratio</td>
<td>1.84 (1.65 - 2.06) for highest vs lowest tercile</td>
<td>26.8 % (22.2 - 31.9) for top two terciles vs lowest tercile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td>0.60 (0.53 - 0.67) for highest vs lowest tercile of mAHEI</td>
<td>23.2 % (18.2 - 28.9) for lowest two terciles vs highest tercile of mAHEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-hip ratio</td>
<td>1.44 (1.27 - 1.64) for highest vs lowest tercile</td>
<td>18.6 % (13.3 - 25.3) for top two terciles vs lowest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial factors</td>
<td>2.20 (1.78 - 2.72)</td>
<td>17.4 % (13.1 - 22.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>1.67 (1.49 - 1.87)</td>
<td>12.4 % (10.2 - 14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac causes (including atrial fibrillation)</td>
<td>3.17 (2.68 - 3.75)</td>
<td>9.1 % (8.0 - 10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td>2.09 (1.64 - 2.67) for high or heavy episodic intake vs non- or former drinker</td>
<td>5.8 % (3.4 - 9.7) for current drinker vs non- or former drinker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>1.16 (1.05 - 1.30)</td>
<td>3.9 % (1.9 - 7.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary Prevention

After an ischaemic stroke or TIA has occurred, it is paramount to aggressively target modifiable risk factors. In order to manage a non-cardioembolic acute stroke, antiplatelet therapy using aspirin, or a short-term dual antiplatelet therapy using a combination of aspirin and clopidogrel for patients with TIA or minor stroke, should be initiated as soon as possible after symptom onset. Aspirin monotherapy remains the mainstay of long-term secondary stroke prevention. Patients with atrial fibrillation should receive long-term anticoagulant therapy using an oral direct thrombin inhibitor or a factor Xa inhibitor following a careful risk-benefit assessment to avoid bleeding complications. Additionally, treatment with lipid-lowering drugs, such as statins can be effective even in patients without elevated cholesterol levels, reducing the risk of recurrent stroke by almost 20 % (TRAMACERE et al. 2019). For some causes of stroke, such as carotid artery stenosis, a narrowing of the main vessels supplying the brain, mostly caused by atherosclerosis, or a patent foramen ovale, i.e. a hole in the tissue that separates the left and the right upper chamber of the heart, there are specific interventions available for secondary prevention. However, overall adherence to chronic drug treatment regimens is low because access to safe and affordable medicine is limited in low- and middle-income countries that face the highest stroke burden. Meanwhile, mobile phones and mobile internet access have become ubiquitous in low- and middle-income countries, reaching people who, until now, have remained structurally excluded. Mobile technology is also increasingly being used in the healthcare sector to implement low-cost screening mechanisms for conditions that increase stroke risk and to target behavioural risk factors. Studies evaluating the impact and cost-effectiveness of mobile technology in
reducing the burden of stroke are scarce (Feigin et al. 2016a), however there is rising evidence for successful prevention of non-communicable diseases in low- and middle-income countries using mobile technology.

Conclusion

More attention from national and global stakeholders, as well as from funding agencies, is needed to turn the tide of the growing stroke burden. They must support research and the implementation of effective primary and secondary prevention strategies as well as improve access to essential diagnostics and treatment. An emphasis on stroke prevention is urgently needed in low- and middle-income countries.
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